

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,651 12/01/2003		Michael J. Kling III	460000 2315			
27717	7590	11/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEYFARTI	H SHAW	,	LAU, TUNG S			
55 EAST MO SUITE 4200		TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60603	3-5803	2863			

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			651	KLING ET AL.						
			er	Art Unit						
		Tung S	Lau	2863						
The Period for Re	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
A SHORTI THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F. ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above, the maximum st ply within the set or extended period for reply serived by the Office later than three months a cent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tim tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	ponsive to communication(s) file action is <b>FINAL</b> . se this application is in condition ed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		merits is					
Disposition of Claims										
4a) 0 5)☐ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)☐ Clai	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>									
Application P	apers									
10) <mark>⊡ The</mark> Appl Repl	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are icant may not request that any objected to accement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req	) be held in abeyance. Security of the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI						
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or S)/Mail Date <u>See office action</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Information Disclosure Statement**

1. The IDS filed on 6-14-2004 has been accepted and signed by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

  A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-12, 15, 16, 18-22, 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rochelle (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0093331).

# Regarding claim 1:

Rochelle discloses in a system for measuring the relative locations of points on a vehicle, which system includes plural electromagnetic radiation sources (page 1, section 0006) adapted to be fixed relative to the vehicle and plural electromagnetic radiation receivers at a receiving location and a processor coupled to the sources and to the receivers and operating under control of a stored program for determining angles between each receiver and each source which is in the field of view of the receiver (page 1, section 0006, fig. 3, 4), the improvement comprising: a display device coupled to the processor (page 1, section 0006), and the processor program including a routine for monitoring each

receiver and generating on the display device a graphical display indicating for each source and each receiver whether or not the source is in the field of view of the receiver (page 1, section 0006).

### Regarding claim 8:

Rochelle discloses in a system for measuring the relative locations of points on a vehicle, which system includes at least one electromagnetic radiation source disposed on a hand-held probe and plural electromagnetic radiation receivers at a receiving location and a processor coupled to the probe and to the receivers and operating under control of a stored program for determining angles between the source and each receiver which has the source in its field of view (page 1, section 0006), the improvement comprising: an indicator on the hand-held probe (page 1, section 0006), and the processor program including a routine for monitoring each receiver and causing the indicator to operate in a first mode if the source is in the field of view of all of the receivers and in a second mode if the source is in the field of view of all but one of the receivers (page 1, section 0006).

### Regarding claim 16:

Rochelle discloses In a system for measuring the relative locations of points on a vehicle, which system includes plural first electromagnetic radiation sources adapted to be fixed relative to the vehicle and at least one second electromagnetic radiation source on a hand-held probe and plural electromagnetic radiation receivers at a receiving location and a processor coupled to the probe and to the sources and to the receivers and operating under

control of a stored program for determining angles between each receiver and each source which is in the field of view of the receiver, the improvement comprising: a display device coupled to the processor (page 1, section 0006), and an indicator on the hand-held probe, the processor program including a first routine for monitoring each receiver and generating on the display device a graphical display (page 1, section 0006) indicating for each first source and each receiver whether or not the first source is in the field of view of the receiver (abstract), the processor program including a second routine for monitoring each receiver and causing the indicator to operate in a first mode if the at least one second source is in the field of view of all of the receivers and in a second mode of the at least one second source is in the field of all but one of the receivers (page 1, section 0006, fig. 10).

# Regarding claim 24:

Rochelle discloses in a method of measuring the relative locations of points on a vehicle by determining angles between each of plural electromagnetic radiation receivers and each of plural electromagnetic radiation sources which is in the field of view of the receiver, the improvement comprising: monitoring each receiver to determine which sources are in its field of view (fig. 5), and providing an indication as to whether or not any source is outside the field of view of any receiver and, if so, identifying which source or sources and which receiver or receivers (page 1, section 0006, fig. 10).

Regarding claim 2, Rochelle further disclose at least three source and receivers (abstract); Regarding claims 3, 18, 19, Rochelle further disclose source are fixed relative to each other (fig. 4, 5); Regarding claims 5, 20, Rochelle further disclose graphic representation of sources and receivers (page 1, section 0006, fig. 6, 7, 9); Regarding claim 5, Rochelle further disclose a line draw between receiver and source field outside of view (fig. 6, 7); Regarding claims 9, 22, Rochelle further disclose steady mode and intermediate mode (fig. 5, 6, 7, 8); Regarding claim 10, Rochelle further disclose indicator off if source is outside the filed of view of more than one receiver (abstract, fig. 5, 6, 7); Regarding claim 11, Rochelle further disclose plurality of sources (abstract); Regarding claim 12, Rochelle further disclose the indicator operates in the first mode if all sources are in the field of view of all receivers and in the second mode if no more than one source is outside the field of view of any receiver (fig. 6, 7, page 1, section 0006); ); Regarding claim 15, Rochelle further disclose the hand-held probe has associated therewith a switch to activate a measurement of the position of the probe, the program routine being responsive to actuation of the switch to momentarily turn the indicator on if the probe location is successfully measured (page 1, section 0006); Regarding claims 21, 26, Rochelle further disclose the graphical display includes for each receiver a line drawn between that receiver and each first source which is outside its field of view (fig. 6, 7); Regarding claim 25, Rochelle further disclose providing a graphical display indicating for each source and each receiver whether or not the source is in the field of view of the

receiver (fig. 6, 7, page 1, section 0006); Regarding claim 27, Rochelle further disclose hand-held probe including at least one second electromagnetic radiation source, and providing in association with the probe an indication as to whether or not the at least one second source is in the field of view of all of the receivers (fig. 6, 7, page 1, section 0006); Regarding claim 28, Rochelle further disclose providing of an indication at the hand-held probe, includes operating an indicator in a first mode if the at least one second source is in the field of view of all of the receivers and in a second mode if the at least one second source is in the field of view of all but one of the receivers (fig. 6, 7, page 1, section 0006, fig. 10).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - a. Claims 4, 7, 13, 14, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rochelle (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0093331) in view of Hendrix (U.S. Patent 6,115,927).

Rochelle discloses a system including the subject matter discussed above except source is LED and receiver are camera sensors, color coded indication, indicator is optical. Hendrix discloses a system included source is LED and receiver are camera sensors (fig. 15, abstract), color coded indication (Col. 11, Lines 52-67),

indicator is optical (abstract), in order to have a quick, easy to learn and accurate system (Col. 1, Lines 40-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rochelle to have the source is LED and receiver are camera sensors, color coded indication, indicator is optical taught by Hendrix in order to have a quick, easy to learn and accurate system (Col. 1, Lines 40-48).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL

John Barlow//
Supervisory Patent Fxaminer
Technology Center 2800